



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/668,809	09/22/2003	Hyun Soo Kim	5882P049	1956
8791	7590 08/10/2005		EXAM	INER
BLAKELY SOKOLOFF TAYLOR & ZAFMAN			HELLNER, MARK	
12400 WILSH SEVENTH F	HIRE BOULEVARD		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
LOS ANGELES, CA 90025-1030			3663	

DATE MAILED: 08/10/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

-	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/668,809	KIM ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Mark Hellner	3663
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status	•	
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on		
•	action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa closed in accordance with the practice under <i>E</i>	nce except for formal matters, pro	
Disposition of Claims		
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-6 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-6 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or are subjected to by the Examine 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ according to a subject to great to be a subjected to by the Examine 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ according to a subject to great to be a subjected to by the Examine 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ according to a subject to great to a subject to great to a subject to be a subject to be a subject to great to a subject to be a subject to	or election requirement. er. eerted or b) objected to by the E	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	tion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
a) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	is have been received. is have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(c)		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Attachment(s) 1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☑ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☑ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date ♀ 127/2003	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	

M

Application/Control Number: 10/668,809

Art Unit: 3663

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1 and 4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Hui et al (EP 1087478 A).

Hui et al disclose an optical signal processing element comprising : a first saturable absorber (15) ; and an optical amplifier (23) connected in series with the saturable absorber.

The rest of the text of claim 1 recites functional language capable of being performed by the elements of Hui et al recited above, and as such, renders claim 1 anticipated.

With respect to claim 4, Hui et al disclose: a substrate (the material on which the figure 2 device is formed); a first cladding layer (12) formed on the substrate; an active layer (16) formed on the first cladding layer; a second cladding layer (29) formed on the active layer; dielectrics formed on both facets (part of the partially reflective end faces of the device); an upper electrode (33, 31) formed on the upper surface of the device; a

Application/Control Number: 10/668,809

Art Unit: 3663

lower electrode (25) formed on a lower surface of the device, the elements (31) and (33) dividing the saturable absorber from the optical amplifier.

Claims 1-3 and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Brindel et al (6,674,569).

Figure 3 of Brindel et al teaches the series connection of optical signal processing element corresponding to figure 2A. This teaching results in the series combination of a saturable absorber, optical amplifier and another saturable absorber, thus producing claims 1-3.

Claim 6 is taught by figure 4.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hui et al.

The materials recited by claim 5 were notoriously well known to a person of ordinary skill in the art of fabrication of multiple quantum well devices and, as such, would have been suggested by the multiple quantum well structure of Figure 2 of Hui et al.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Mark Hellner at telephone number 571 272 6981.

Mark Hellner

Primary Examiner

Mark Hellin